



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/898,699	07/02/2001	Dong-woo Lee	9898-176	2435		
20575 7.	20575 7590 05/31/2006			EXAMINER		
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.			HSU, JONI			
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND. OR 97204		E 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2628			

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/898,699	LEE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Joni Hsu	2628

			2020					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. ⊠ The this pla (3)	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compowing time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notico owing replies: (1) an amendment otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid at , affidavit, or other evid in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.						
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth ir an SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	e of the final rejection.					
been filed CFR 1.17( above, if clearned pate	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states. Any reply received by the Office later than three month tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fo atutory period for reply originally set in	ee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
of f	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any eace a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must but MENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
3. 🛛 Tr	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a b	rief, will not be entered	because				
	They raise new issues that would require further co							
(b)	$oxedsymbol{\square}$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ow);						
(c)	oxtimes They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	appeal; and/or							
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.					
. — —	NOTE: see attached sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 a	* **						
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	• ——						
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	illowable if submitted in a separa	ate, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. 🔯 Fo	non-allowable claim(s).  r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  w the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of				
	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	iim(s) allowed: iim(s) objected to:							
	im(s) rejected to: im(s) rejected: <u>1,3-12,14,15,17,18,20 and 24-27</u> .							
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDA\	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b							
and	cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		•				
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	er entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. 🔲 TI —	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. 🔲 N	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s).	n				
13. 🗌 0	ther:		ULKA CHAUHAN	le				
		SUPER	RVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER				
			· · · <del>- ·</del>					

Art Unit: 2628

Applicant argues that in Figure 8 of Deering (US005544306A), compare unit 235 has no output or no way of writing data to the pixel buffer 56. The only line from ALU 58 to the pixel buffer 56, shown in Figure 2, is line 202, and line 202 is not output by the compare unit 235. Deering explains that a pixel buffer write enable signal 276, output by the compare unit 235, enables writing of the write port data 202 into the pixel buffer 56. But one skilled in the art would recognize that an enable signal that enables data to be written is different than the data itself that is written. Thus, Deering does not teach writing external depth data via a line connecting the compare circuit to the memory cell array, as claim 1 requires (page 8).

In reply, the Examiner disagrees. Figure 4 of Deering shows that ALU 58 has two lines to the pixel buffer 56, which are line 202 and line 276. Figure 8 shows that line 276 is output by the compare unit 235. Deering describes that a pixel buffer write enable signal 276, output by the compare unit 235, enables writing of the write port data 202 into the pixel buffer 56 (Col. 17, lines 8-10). Therefore, through a line 276 connecting the compare circuit 235 with the memory cell array 56, the external depth data is enabled to be written to the memory cell array 56 and the external depth data is then written to the memory cell array. The limitation "write the external depth data, via the connecting line, into the memory cell array over the internal depth data depending on the result of the comparison," as recited in Claim 1, is interpreted to mean that the operation of writing the external depth data is allowed through the connecting line. Thus,

Deering does teach writing external depth data, via a line 276 connecting the compare circuit 235 to the memory cell array 56, into the memory cell array 56.